UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,134	11/20/2003	Ron A. Balczewski	279.303US2	9093	
21186 7590 12/10/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER		
			MANUEL, GEORGE C		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Ţ.V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,134	BALCZEWSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	George Manuel	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a reput apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Octoor 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte		; is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyanc on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) 10/718,134 Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al (US 6,254,548).

Ishikawa et al discloses an implantable housing comprising layer 49 with the temperature sensor 25 within the housing.

Ishikawa et al teach:

While a disclosed embodiment of transponder 15 operates in an analog mode, those skilled in the art will recognize that a transponder can operate in a digital mode. In a digital mode, the voltage produced by the temperature sensor would be sampled periodically by an A/D converter. The sampled digital values would then be modulated onto an RF carrier.

10/718,134

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claim 2, Ishikawa et al teach a proportional-to absolute-temperature current source to generate a temperature signal. See col.13, lines 21-40.

Regarding claim 3, the first and second oscillators, and counter provide an equivalent arrangement for developing the current to obtain the temperature as the transistor structure disclosed in Ishikawa et al. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the oscillators and counter for the transistors to obtain the current for a proportional temperature measurement in the device of Ishikawa et al.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Ishikawa et al teach transponder 15 includes a temperature sensor 25, which in one embodiment is a thermistor and in another embodiment is an internal temperature reference. Ishikawa et al further teach, while a thermistor is the preferred temperature sensor, those skilled in the art will recognize that other semiconductor temperature sensing technologies can be incorporated into the transponder.

Regarding claim 12, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to monitor temperatures before implantation of the device because one would find monitoring the temperatures before implantation to be an effective way to test the device to ensure it is functioning properly before implanting, to avoid having to remove the device after implantation.

Regarding claim 14-17, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to issue an alarm if temperature limits are not met or maintained because

Art Unit: 3762

Ishikawa et al teach monitoring station 13 may provide audio or visual alarms whenever a temperature outside of a normal range is detected.

Claims 6-11 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al (US 6,254,548) in view of Weijand (US 5,336,244).

Ishikawa et al render obvious the claimed features of a temperature sensor, sampling circuitry, and controller contained within an implantable housing, Ishikawa et al fail to teach or disclose the features of a pulse generator for delivering cardiac shock therapy.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine the cardiac shock therapy features of the device disclosed in Weijand with the temperature sensor features of Ishikawa et al to provide the temperature sensor 22 of Weijand in the housing of the pacer because enclosing the temperature sensor 22 of Weijand provides a body temperature measurement that approximates that of the blood temperature of the heart and because sealing the temperature sensor prevents the sensor from corroding from external body fluids.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

10/718,134

Art Unit: 3762

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

/George Manuel/ George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762